**Word Bird Flu Template for:**  
  
Full 3 x ‘Discovery’ Notices

**PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL**

**Head Boss/CEO**

Poultry/ Bird Owner  
Address  
Town  
Postcode

Company/Gov Organisation

Address 1,

Address 2,

City, Postcode

Date/year

**NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE**

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: “Bird Flu” Regulations

Due to Britain being declared a ‘bird flu prevention zone’ and because it has been deemed illegal to keep poultry outside in the UK, I hereby conditionally accept to keep all my poultry inside and under cover, upon receipt of the following reasonably requested items:

**For the avoidance of doubt, this Notice does not constitute a complaint, and should not be treated as one.**

1. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, in the form of an electron micrograph, showing the purified, isolated and fully characterized alleged virus, H5N1.
2. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, in the form of the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper, in which the alleged virus H5N1 is illustrated, and its full genetic information described.
3. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, in the form of the name of the primary publication which provides proof that the alleged virus H5N1 is the cause of avian flu.  
     
   To be clear, regarding the above three questions, I am asking for evidence of the purification of the alleged “bird flu virus”, “H5N1”, including any alleged “variants” taken directly from a sample of a diseased bird, where the bird sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).  
     
   Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” and instead cultured something else, and/or performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or fabricated a “genome” from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or produced electron microscopy images of impure things.  
     
   I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate and I am therefore not requesting records which describe replication of a ‘virus’ without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfilment of Koch’s Postulates, or records which describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.  
     
   I simply request evidence of a primary specialist, writing in a primary publication, describing purification of H5N1 (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the alleged diseased sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).
4. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of a preceding thorough investigation into other possible environmental causes for disease and sickness in poultry and that all other possible causes have been ruled out.
5. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, which proves that manufacturers of PCR have a gold standard Ct Value for detecting alleged viral RNA.
6. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, in the form of a clinical study to validate use of Ct to guide management in PCR across all manufacturers.
7. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that PCR products have been validated at the molecular level.
8. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, in the form of a positive and negative PCR control test to validate the specificity of H5N1.
9. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, which shows your policies are not designed specifically to force farmers to cull enough birds, during the worst economic depression in a century, to bankrupt UK poultry farming, as part of the WEF agenda to impose a plant-based diet upon the people of Britain.
10. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, which shows that H5N1 is a naturally occurring “virus”, as opposed to a “vaccine virus” created in a lab and injected into the birds prior to the commencement of mass culling.
11. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, which shows you are not both criminally and civilly liable in the event you cannot provide the material evidence requested.  
      
    If evidence to prove your claims regarding the existence of alleged avian flu and the validity of testing for the alleged avian flu cannot be provided, you then must immediately stop all attempts at regulating the farming and food industry and terminate your restrictions.   
      
      
      
    Given the seriousness of the issues raised and the volume of poultry being so callously and senselessly culled adversely affecting the food supply, farming, businesses and people’s livelihoods, you have 7 days to respond appropriately.

Without malice or mischief, in sincerity and honour,

[Add Wet signature]

By: Your Name (Written like this upper case Lower case)

Authorised Representative for FULL NAME (All upper case)  
All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse  
Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

**Next Steps**

When the recipient of the notice cannot provide you with the material evidence you have asked for within seven days, send a Notice of Opportunity To Cure

**PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL**

**Head Boss/CEO**

Poultry/ Bird Owner  
Address  
Town  
Postcode

Company/Gov Organisation

Address 1,

Address 2,

City, Postcode

Date/year

**NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE**

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: “Bird Flu” Regulations

Following your failure to respond to the Notice of Conditional Acceptance dated [add date], in relation to Britain being declared a ‘bird flu prevention zone’, I hereby conditionally accept to keep all my poultry inside and under cover, upon receipt of the following reasonably requested items:

1. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, in the form of an electron micrograph, showing the purified, isolated, and fully characterized alleged virus, H5N1.
2. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, in the form of the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper, in which the alleged virus H5N1 is illustrated, and its full genetic information described.
3. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, in the form of the name of the primary publication which provides proof that the alleged virus H5N1 is the cause of avian flu.

To be clear, regarding the above three questions, I am asking for evidence of the purification of the alleged “bird flu virus”, “H5N1”, including any alleged “variants” taken directly from a sample of a diseased bird, where the bird sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).  
  
Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” and instead cultured something else, and/or performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or fabricated a “genome” from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or produced electron microscopy images of impure things.  
  
I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate and I am therefore not requesting records which describe replication of a ‘virus’ without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfilment of Koch’s Postulates, or records which describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request evidence of a primary specialist, writing in a primary publication, describing purification of H5N1 (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the alleged diseased sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).  
  
4. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, of a preceding thorough investigation into other possible environmental causes for disease and sickness in poultry and that all other possible causes have been ruled out.  
  
5. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, which proves that manufacturers of PCR have a gold standard Ct Value for detecting alleged viral RNA.  
  
6. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, in the form of a clinical study to validate use of Ct to guide management in PCR across all manufacturers.  
  
7. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, that PCR products have been validated at the molecular level.  
  
8. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, in the form of a positive and negative PCR control test to validate the specificity of H5N1.  
  
9. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, which shows your policies are not designed specifically to force farmers to cull enough birds, during the worst economic depression in a century, to bankrupt UK poultry farming, as part of the WEF agenda to impose a plant-based diet upon the people of Britain.  
  
10. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, which shows that H5N1 is a naturally occurring “virus”, as opposed to a “vaccine virus” created in a lab and injected into the birds prior to the commencement of mass culling.  
  
11. Material evidence, not mere hearsay, or professional opinion, which shows you are not both criminally and civilly liable in the event you cannot provide the material evidence requested.

If evidence to prove your claims regarding my article’s perceived misinformation and thus your support of the existence of alleged avian flu and the validity of testing for the alleged avian flu cannot be provided, you then must immediately stop all attempts at supporting, aiding, and abetting through egregious censorship of facts and supporting the regulation of the farming and food industry and terminate your restrictions by way of your ‘community policy’.

Given the seriousness of the issues raised and the volume of poultry being so callously and senselessly culled adversely affecting the food supply, farming, businesses, and people’s livelihoods, and your support of censorship you levy, you have 7 days to respond appropriately.

Without malice or mischief, in sincerity and honour,

With sincerity and honour,

[Add Wet signature]

By: Your Name (Written like this upper case Lower case)

Authorised Representative for FULL NAME (All upper case)  
All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse  
Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Once another seven days have passed and no material evidence has been delivered to you justifying the “Bird Flu” mandates, the following **Notice of Default** should be served.

**PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL**

**Head Boss/CEO**

Poultry/ Bird Owner  
Address  
Town  
Postcode

Company/Gov Organisation

Address 1,

Address 2,

City, Postcode

Date/year

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT**

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

Dear Sir / Madam,

Re: “Bird Flu” Regulations

Following your failure to respond appropriately to the notices dated [add date] and [add date], the following facts have been established:

There is no material evidence, in the form of an electron micrograph, showing the purified, isolated and fully characterized alleged virus, H5N1.  
  
There is no material evidence, in the form of the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper, in which the alleged virus H5N1 is illustrated and its full genetic information described.

There is no material evidence in the form of the name of the primary publication which provides proof that the alleged virus H5N1 is the cause of avian flu.

There is no material evidence of a preceding thorough investigation into other possible environmental causes for disease and sickness in poultry and that all other possible causes have been ruled out.

There is no material evidence which proves that manufacturers of PCR have a gold standard Ct Value for detecting alleged viral RNA.

There is no material evidence in the form of a clinical study to validate use of Ct to guide management in PCR across all manufacturers.

There is no material evidence that PCR products have been validated at the molecular level.

There is no material evidence in the form of a positive and negative PCR control test to validate the specificity of H5N1.

There is no material evidence which shows your policies are not designed specifically to force farmers to cull enough birds, during the worst economic depression in a century, to bankrupt UK poultry farming, as part of the WEF agenda to impose a plant-based diet upon the people of Britain.

There is no material evidence which shows that H5N1 is a naturally occurring “virus”, as opposed to a “vaccine virus” created in a lab and injected into the birds prior to the commencement of mass culling.

There is no material evidence which shows you are not both criminally and civilly liable in the event you cannot provide the material evidence requested.

Therefore, there is no legal obligation upon me to comply with your regulations and you will be held liable for any and all losses caused by your imposition of these lawfully unsustainable policies upon my poultry, without any material evidence to justify your actions.

Without malice or mischief, in sincerity and honour,

With sincerity and honour,

[Add Wet signature]

By: Your Name (Written like this Upper case Lower case)

Authorised Representative for FULL NAME (All upper case)  
All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse  
Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted